

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20370-5100

**SMC** 

Docket No: 04201-00 9 November 2000





This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 9 November 2000. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by the Navy Personnel Command dated 24 August 2000, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion, except they noted paragraph 3.b should state your allegation was that the contested report was punishment from the results of an "inspection," rather than an "investigation." Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official

records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER Executive Director

Enclosure



## **DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**

NAVY PERSONNEL COMMAND 5720 INTEGRITY DRIVE MILLINGTON TN 38055-0000

> 1610 PERS-311 24 August 2000

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS

Via: PERS/BCNR Coordinator (PERS-00ZCB)

Subj: NCC

Ref: (a) BUPERSINST 1610.10 EVAL Manual

Encl: (1) BCNR File

- 1. Enclosure (1) is returned. The member requests the removal of his fitness report for the period 16 September 1998 to 15 September 1999.
- 2. Based on our review of the material provided, we find the following:
- a. A review of the member's headquarters record revealed the report in question to be on file. It is signed by the member acknowledging the contents of the report and his right to submit a statement. The member's statement and reporting senior's endorsement are properly reflected in the member's digitized record.
- b. The member alleges the report was prepared as a form of punishment from the results of an investigation and did not reflect his performance. In reviewing petitions that question the exercise of the reporting senior's evaluation responsibilities, we must determine if the reporting senior abused his/her discretionary authority. For us to recommend relief, the petitioner has to show that either there is no rational support for the reporting senior's action or that the reporting senior acted for an illegal or improper purpose. The petitioner must do more than just assert the improper exercise of discretion; he must provide evidence to support the claim. I do not believe Chief. It is done so. The fitness report itself represents the opinions of the reporting senior. Nothing provided in the petition shows that Command the reporting senior acted for illegal or improper purposes or the report lacked rational support. In the reporting senior's endorsement, he clearly states his reason for preparing the report as he did.
- c. Counseling of a member takes many forms. Whether or not as given written counseling or a Letter of Instruction (LOI) does not invalidate the fitness report. The reporting senior did indicate counseling did occur.
  - d. The member does not prove the report to be unjust or in error.

3. We recommend the member's record remain unchanged.

Head, Performance

**Evaluation Branch**